Mentoring Undergraduates in Applied Mathematics and Computer Science Research

> Fred Park Whittier College

AMS Sectional Meeting, UC Riverside November 9<sup>th</sup>, 2019

### My Background

Undergrad in Research at UCLA with 3 students

Team lead at UCI Interdisciplinary Computational Applied Math (iCAMP) REU for 2 years

Undergraduate Research at various levels at Whittier College e.g. fellowships, senior seminar, or otherwise

### **Problem Formulation**

Coming up with an interesting problem is the first step

Main thing I found

Problem should be :

- interesting to both the mentee and mentor
- problem should challenge both
- problem should be engaging to both

### **Problem Formulation**

Problem should be :

- interesting to both the mentee and mentor
- problem should challenge both
- problem should be engaging to both

example 1: student interested in illusory contours  $\rightarrow$  match

example 2: student interested in modeling drums in music. me = interested in ML to mine patterns in music  $\rightarrow$  no match.

Don't be afraid to suggest a different direction.

Goal is to find a project that interests the both of you above all!

### **Problem Formulation**

keep a list of potential undergrad research problems either of your own curiosity or from your research.

flexibility is usually key as research tends to different directions quickly.

I know I'm just stating the obvious! :)

What is Segmentation:

Computer Vision Task: find the boundaries of salient regions in an image



### Image Segmentation: Motivation Recall the Los Angeles Riots of 1992









### Image Segmentation: Some Motivation High Point of Riots: Reginald Denny Beaten Mercilessly on Nat'l. TV





Public Outrage! Perpetrators at large! Calculus Based Image Processing Used to Enhance Footage Cognitech and UCLA Image Processing Group Help LAPD THE WALL STREET JOURNAL. California Company Uses Calculus to Pin The Crime on the Criminal Who Did It

#### By ROBERT LANGRETH Staff Reporter of THE WALL STREET JOURNAL

The two men were on trial for murder. Convictions might have been easy: A gas station security camera had filmed the whole tussle, culminating in fatal gunshots. But the videotape was so blurry that no one could really tell who attacked whom. The two argued self-defense, and the Los Angeles County jury hung.

So local detectives turned to Cognitech Inc., a tiny company armed with a powerful new technique for enhancing fuzzy images. Cognitech's improved video clearly showed the suspects pinning the victim face down against the ground and firing into his skull. Both defendants eventually pleaded guilty.

In the past two years, analyzing crime and accident videotapes has blossomed into a full-time business for Cognitech, based in Santa Monica, Calif. It is among a handful of companies applying sophisticated mathematics to clearing up crime and accident videotapes.

Before these companies existed, police trying to enhance poor videos had to buy commercial "Photoshop" software, which generally processes one frame at a time and is limited to simple operations such as improving contrast. Or they could send their



This computer-generated image is the first step in a process that allowed Cognitech to identify a tattoo (circled) on the arm of Reginald Denny's attacker

frame and the nature of distortions caused by poor

work is done on computer workstations at employees' desktops.

On a typical day, Mr. Rudin prowls the office looking over employees' shoulders as they analyze videotapes, asking questions and making suggestions. For particularly stubborn videotapes, the indefatigable Mr. Rudin often stays late into the night adapting computer programs to do that type of image better.

Cognitech isn't alone in the expanding videoenhancement field. Another small company, Trec Inc. in Huntsville. Ala. sells software for enhancing videotapes to the FBI and other law enforcement agencies. And Aerospace Corp., a nonprofit militaryresearch agency, recently started a small unit to analyze crime videotapes. It now handles a couple of dozen cases per year.

Neither the FBI nor Trec will comment on their enhancement techniques. Aerospace, for its part, says a variety of standard mathematical methods for improving images serve it just fine. "Standard image enhancement is a whopping field." agrees Massachusetts Institute of Technology electrical engineer Alan Willsky. He adds that "the jury's still out on the overall impact" of Cognitech's method.

In any case, all sides expect their caseloads to increase as more husinesses hus sonhisticated video-



| LOCAL   | U.S. | WORLD  | BUSINESS | SPORTS      | ENTERTAIN | MENT HEA   | LTH L | IVING    | TRAVEL  | OPINION   |       | Search | GO |
|---------|------|--------|----------|-------------|-----------|------------|-------|----------|---------|-----------|-------|--------|----|
| MONEY & | CO.  | TECHNO | LOGY PE  | RSONAL FINA | ANCE SMAL | L BUSINESS | COMP  | PANY TOW | 'N JOBS | REAL ESTA | TE CA | ARS    |    |

YOU ARE HERE: LAT Home → Collections → Photographs

The Region : SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA ENTERPRISE : Cognitech Thinks It's Got a Better Forensic Tool : The firm uses complex math in video image-enhancing technology that helps in finding suspects.



#### September 05, 1994 | KAREN KAPLAN | TIMES STAFF WRITER

It started out as just a speck on a photograph of a man who threw a brick at truck driver Reginald Denny at Florence and Normandie avenues in the opening hours of the 1992 Los Angeles riots.

But when Leonid Rudin subjected it to a complicated computer algorithm and a slew of complex mathematical equations, that speck--originally less than 1/6,000th the size of the total photograph--was revealed to be a rose-shaped tattoo on the arm of the man, later identified in court as Damian Monroe Williams.

#### **Reginald Denny Beating Investigation**



**Original Image** 





Perpetrator's tatoo superresolution





Comparison with the suspect's real tatoo

### Image Processing Crime Footage

← Original Crime Scene Video

#### $\leftarrow$ Tattoo Superresolution

#### $\leftarrow Comparison \ w/ \ Real \ Tatoo$

#### Outcome: All 3 Criminals Convicted!

my prior work --> image segmentation and shape priors

Prior UCI REU  $\rightarrow$  lots of shape modeling

Shape Priors  $\rightarrow$  shape info to aid in tough segmentation problems

### Shape Prior Segmentation





#### With Shape

#### Without Shape

Common interest with student  $\rightarrow$  illusory contours



upside down triangle

bright disk

Common interest with student  $\rightarrow$  illusory contours



cash money sign

pacman and square

common interest  $\rightarrow$  illusory contours

Goal:

create model for Shape Prior Segmentation & Illusory Contour Capture!

My previous work on shape prior segmentation

 $\rightarrow$  does not work on Illusory Contour Capture

 $\rightarrow$  Model would be Geodesic active contours + shape

### **Application: Active Contours**

- given an image  $f: \Omega \rightarrow \Re$
- evolve a curve C to detect objects in f
- the curve has to stop on the boundaries of the objects



What intrinsic quality of curve can minimize to make it move inward??

Map out the project step by step with hurdles

hurdle 1: Software considerations

Use high level scripting language in initial phase of research

e.g. Matlab, Python, R, etc.

Tutorials online

If new student  $\rightarrow$  work on a mini project e.g. loading an image (selfie) and doing basic manipulation

Then build up. Smaller steps add up faster to progress than a big one bc less likely to get stuck

hurdle 2: code parametric curve and get it to move inward

wait. Must minimize:

$$\inf_{C} F(C) = \int_{0}^{1} |C'(s)| \, ds$$

Involves the Calculus of Variations!!

hurdle 2: code parametric curve and get it to move inward

wait. Must minimize:

$$\inf_{C} F(C) = \int_{0}^{1} |C'(s)| \, ds$$

Involves the Calculus of Variations!!

Solution: 1-2 weeks of reading Peter Olver's notes on this & calculating the Gateaux Derivative of F(C)

→ Get functional gradient of F(C)

 $\rightarrow$  Gradient Descent to minimize F(C)

 $\rightarrow$  Curve moves inward to min arc length

hurdle 3: how to stop the curve?

hurdle 3: how to stop the curve? edge detector!

Boundary detection: stopping edge-function (external forces)  $g \ge 0, g \downarrow, \lim_{t \to \infty} g(t) = 0$ Example:  $g(|\nabla u_0|) = \frac{1}{1+|\nabla G_{\sigma} * u_0|^p}$ 

g ~ 0 on edges g ~ 1 in flatter regions



hurdle 4: Put it together  $\rightarrow$  GAC Model

Geodesic model (Caselles, Kimmel, Sapiro '95)

$$\inf_{C} F(C) = 2 \int_{0}^{1} |C'(s)| g(|\nabla I(C(s))|) ds$$

g: edge detector NRG is 0 at edges  $\rightarrow$  curve doesn't move

point is, we progressively build up

hurdle 5: Put it together  $\rightarrow$  GAC Model + Shape

Householder and Park 2019

$$\inf_{C} F(C) = \int_{0}^{1} |C'(s)| g(|\nabla I(C(s))|) ds + Shape$$

Move a curve that stops at edges in image while enforcing shape

Householder and Park 2019  $\inf_{C} F(C) = \int_{0}^{1} |C'(s)| g(|\nabla I(C(s))|) ds + Shape$ 







(c) Evolving

(d) Result



(c) Evolving



(b) Evolving



(d) Result





#### without shape

with shape



#### (a) Initialization



(c) Evolving



(b) Evolving



(d) Result

Important Discussion with the Student:

- where does model work. where it doesn't  $\rightarrow$  model limitations
- advantages over other methods
- no method is a be all end all

all have advantages and disadvantages regardless of what author says (for the most part)

Undergrads really need to see this point!

Motivate by interest

#### Student was interested in Deep Learning Architectures

e.g. resnets, inception, wide-resnets



Motivate by interest

Student was interested in Deep Learning Architectures

I was doing work on sparsity promoting norms in computer vision

 $\rightarrow$  try sparsity promoting regularizers in Deep Networks

Motivate by interest

Student was interested in Deep Learning Architectures

I was doing work on sparsity promoting norms in computer vision

- $\rightarrow$  try sparsity promoting regularizers in Deep Networks
- $\rightarrow$  Instead of creating new network, systematically prune existing ones
- $\rightarrow$  Compressed networks have huge advantages!

Example of a compressed network via pruning



Project: sparse neural networks

hurdle 1: ML research bottleneck = computing power

Cannot do deep learning without GPU acceleration! (GPU = graphics processing unit)

GPUs are expensive!

Cloud based solutions are even more so!

Solution??

option 1: hardware

- nvidia: GPU research grants
- internal faculty research and development grants
- fellowship funding
- external research grant funding

option 2: cloud computing

- Google: cloud computing research grants. \$5k in credits is easy to obtain and fast
- AWS: cloud comp. grants. More involved but bigger awards. \$15k

We got both  $\rightarrow$  \$20k cloud computing credits

One tip for Cloud Computing Grants:

- Apply for grants for cloud computing credits with google or AWS
- Tie undergrad research into your own research. Makes proposal stronger than if only undergrad research.

For projects:

- extension of previous work
- tweak of previous work
- new direction of previous work
- something new altogether that interests the both of you

Hurdle 2: Coding.

- Use Pytorch (facebook AI)
- Tensorflow/Keras (Google)
- or create a neural net from scratch in matlab or python (no GPU)
- Can also use Scikitlearn for python (no GPU)

Hurdle 2: testing models Use standard net e.g LeNet5 on standard dataset e.g. MNIST handwritten digits

LeNet5 trainable on most laptops.

Other sets like CIFAR 10/100, ImageNet require GPU acceleration.

Steep Learning curve: software, gpu, cloud computing etc.

Use github to share code.

block off multi-hour time slots to sit down and code and run training of models with your student.

the importance of coding and figuring things out together in a team environment with ML cannot be stressed enough.

Get standard results on network

add regularization e.g. L1, L2, L1-L2 etc. and see what happens.

Only regularize certain layers. See what happens.

vary the regularization parameter

check sparsity and accuracy

try it on groups/neurons for conv nets.

show effectiveness

again, no one method does it all advantages and disadvantages in detail

Mathematical: can prove anything? convergence? any links to other papers? can prove results on a smaller network even if results may or may not hold for larger one

disseminate: Conference: undergrad SCURR, College Ugrad Conferences ML/AI/IP conferences: ICIP, CVPR, etc.

Journal: SIAM SIURO Institutional undergrad research journals

# Thank You for Your Attention!



### **Application: "active contour"**

- giving an image  $f: \Omega \to \Re$
- evolve a curve C to detect objects in f
- the curve has to stop on the boundaries of the objects



**Basic idea in classical active contours** 

Curve evolution and deformation (internal forces):

### Min Length(C) + Area(inside(C))

Boundary detection: what is it? What is stopping criteria for curve?

Initial Curve — Evolutions — Detected

| Data Fidelity Term             |   |                                |             |  |  |  |  |
|--------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|-------------|--|--|--|--|
| $\int_{inside(C)}  f - f  = f$ | $c_1 \int_{0}^{2} dx dy + otherwise c_1 \int_{0}^{0} dx dy + dx dy$ | $\int_{utside(C)}  f - c_2 $   | $ ^2 dx dy$ |  |  |  |  |
| where                          | $c_1 = average($<br>$c_2 = average($                                | f)  inside  C $f)  outside  C$ |             |  |  |  |  |
| Fit > 0                        | Fit > 0   | Fit > 0                        | Fit ~ 0     |  |  |  |  |
|                                | <b>6</b>  |                                | <b>G</b>    |  |  |  |  |

Minimize: (Fitting +Regularization)Fitting not depending on gradientde

detects "contours without gradient"

Chan-Vese (CV) Model Fitting + Regularization terms (length, area)  $\inf_{c_1,c_2,C} F(c_1,c_2,C) = \mu \cdot |C| + \nu \cdot Area(inside(C))$ 

+ 
$$\lambda \int_{inside(C)} |u_0 - c_1|^2 dx dy + \lambda \int_{outside(C)} |u_0 - c_2|^2 dx dy$$

C = boundary of an open and bounded domain |C| = the length of the boundary-curve C

- P.W. Constant Version of Mumford Shah Model
- Fit constant homogeneous regions while enforcing regularity on boundary of C
- Active Contours without Edges

### **Experimental Results**

### Evolution of C

### Advantages



Automatically detects interior contours!

Works very well for concave objects

Robust w.r.t. noise

Detects blurred contours

The initial curve can be placed anywhere!

Allows for automatic change of topologies

### Convex Relaxed CV Model

- Partition boundaries in MS & Potts model rep'd by L<sub>0</sub> Norm: $J(u) = \| \nabla u \|_0$
- Gradient distribn's mostly vertical and horizontal in natural images.
- $L_1 \alpha L_2$  TV norm is better approx'n to  $L_0$  via level lines than  $L_2$  TV.
- α chosen based on gradient distrib's



 $L_1 - 0.5L_2$  closer to  $L_0$  than:  $L_1$ ,  $L_2$ , and  $L_1 - L_2$ 

Level lines plot

### Shape Prior Segmentation Why are Shape Priors Needed?



#### Example of MS Segmentation Without Shape!

- Difficult Cases: Clutter, Regions w/ non-uniform intensities, Occluded Objects
- Prior Must be compatible with Segmentation Models i.e. both can be minimized

### **Cliques Invariant Signature**



Motivatation: Bending Invariant Signatures Elad and Kimmel 03'

Intervertex Distances:

$$\sum_{i,j} (\|p_i - p_j\|^2 - \|r_i - r_j\|)^2$$

**r**<sub>i</sub>: pts. lying on reference shape

**p**<sub>i</sub>: pts. lying on some evolving contour

Incorporation into:

- Geodesic Active Contours (Snakes)
- Polygonal Implementation of the P.W. Constant MS Model

## Cliques Shape Matching Energy

S Polygonal Rep. of a Reference Shape:  $S = {\vec{r_i}}_{i=1}^N$ 

 $[d_{ij}]$ : Symmetric Matrix of Intervertex Distances  $d_{ij} = |\vec{r_i} - \vec{r_j}|$ 

 $\Sigma$  an Evolving Polygonal Contour:  $\Sigma = {\vec{p}_j}_{j=1}^N$ 

```
Shape Matching Energy:
```

$$\inf_{i,s} \left\{ E_c(\vec{p}_1, \vec{p}_2, \dots, \vec{p}_N, s) = \sum_{i,j=1}^N \left( |\vec{p}_i - \vec{p}_j|^2 - sd_{i,j}^2 \right)^2 \right\}$$

- 's': scale parameter to be min'd over as well
- Invariance to Rigid Motion
- Scale Invariance

11 Σ





## Proposed Model

$$E(\Sigma, c_1, c_2, s) = E_{MS} + E_C$$

$$= \min \left\{ \operatorname{Per}(\Sigma) + \lambda \int_{\operatorname{In}(\Sigma)} (c_1 - f)^2 \mathrm{dxdy} + \lambda \int_{\operatorname{Out}(\Sigma)} (c_2 - f)^2 \mathrm{dxdy} + \alpha \sum_{i,j=1}^N \left( |\vec{p}_i - \vec{p}_j|^2 - s d_{ij}^2 \right)^2 \right\}$$

$$\alpha \text{: shape strength}$$

 $\alpha$ : shape strength  $\Sigma$ : Evolving Polygonal Curve

### Polygonal CV Model + Shape!

Best approx of 'f' in L<sup>2</sup> sense taking 2 values  $c_1$  and  $c_2$ 

While Enforcing  $\Sigma$  matches reference shape

### Shape Prior Segmentation





#### With Shape

#### Without Shape

## Shape Prior Segmentation Example



Learned Ref Shape



Image to be Segmented



Prior Juxt'd on Image





 $\alpha = 0.1$ 



 $\alpha = 0.5$ 



Final Seg'd. Image!  $\alpha = 1.0$ 

Increasing shape strength

### Disocclusion



### **Disocclusion** Example





144x144 Learned reference shape

500x500 Occluded Image



Scale difference



Initial contour



Disoccluded contour w/ true image

Final evolved contour

### Shape Prior Segmentation: Very Difficult Case







Initial curve

With Prior

No Prior!

- Fuselage matches tarmac.
- 2 completely different intensities in plane
  - Very difficult segmentation!



prior

### Ongoing and Future Work

- Convergence proof of the DCA algorithm for proposed model
- Other ways of achieving/exploiting directional sparsity
- Shape Prior Segmentation: Modeling both occluders and shapes
- ✤ Neural Network → semantic segmentation. Interplay between a trained model and a mathematical one. Not a 2 step approach but a synergistic one.
- Using CNN's for applications to spatially varying blind deconvolution.
- Stochastic Primal Dual methods for Neural Network Optimization
- Convex relaxation techniques for NN's. Some work done on quantizing weights. Xin et al. '18

# Thank You for Your Attention!

